

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 October 2002 (24.10.2002)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/083316 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: B03D 1/08, B03B 4/00
 - (74) Agent: GRIFFITH HACK; GPO Box 4164, SYDNEY, NSW 2001 (AU).
 - (21) International Application Number: PCT/AU02/00471
 - (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
 - (22) International Filing Date: 11 April 2002 (11.04.2002)
 - (25) Filing Language: English
 - (26) Publication Language: English
 - (30) Priority Data: PR 4376 12 April 2001 (12.04.2001) AU
 - (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): WMC RESOURCES LTD [AU/AU]; 37th Floor, QV1 Building, 250 St Georges Terrace, Perth, W.A. 6000 (AU).
 - (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
 - (72) Inventors; and
 - (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): SENIOR, Geoffrey, David [AU/AU]; 39 St Johns Wood Boulevard, Mount Claremont, W.A. 6010 (AU). THOMAS, Scott [AU/AU]; 76 Buxton Street, Mt Hawthorn, W.A. 6016 (AU). JUDD, Brian [AU/AU]; 100 Birkdale Street, Floreat, W.A. 6014 (AU).
- Published: — with international search report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR SULPHIDE CONCENTRATION



(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a gravity/flotation circuit where a mineral stream, such as a flotation rougher or cleaner concentrate, undergoes a two stage size separation wherein: i) the screen of the first stage provides a coarse stream of particles greater than 110 microns and a fines stream of particles less than 110 microns; and ii) the fines stream of less than 110 microns is subjected to the second stage separation whereupon a cyclone provides an ultrafine/slimes stream of p80 less than about 25 microns and a middlings stream of p80 greater than around 25 microns. The middlings stream is subjected to flotation to recover nickel sulphide which is sent to final concentrate, and reject or depress magnesia which undergoes gravity separation to concentrate the MgO. It has been discovered that a large proportion of the MgO minerals in the concentrate are contained in the 30 to 100 micron size fraction and that they are well liberated making physical separation possible. Furthermore, the nickel sulphide minerals and magnesia minerals have a significantly different specific gravity which can be exploited using gravity separation equipment to achieve magnesia rejection.



WO 02/083316 A1



For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

- 1 -

PROCESS FOR SULPHIDE CONCENTRATION**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates generally to a method and an apparatus for separating a solid contaminant from a valuable mineral concentrate. The invention relates particularly, though not exclusively, to gravity separation of magnesia minerals from a nickel sulphide concentrate such as a serpentinitic hosted low grade nickel sulphide ore.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The conventional development of low grade nickel sulphide serpentinitic ore bodies such as Mt Keith, Western Australia, have been limited by the requirement to produce a nickel concentrate product containing low levels of Magnesia (MgO) minerals. This constraint is usually referred to as the Iron to Magnesia ratio of the concentrate (Fe:MgO ratio). The MgO constraint is a result of a physical limitation of the downstream smelting process. The concentrate smelting process typically require Fe:MgO ratios of about 5.5, which corresponds to an MgO content of <5%. One means of increasing the Fe:MgO ratio is the addition of Fe to the concentrate however this is not an ideal solution as it dilutes the nickel content and reduces the smelter capacity. Hence the objective is to achieve an Fe:MgO ratio of 5.5 by rejecting MgO.

The conventional processing route for these types of ores involves crushing and grinding followed by concentration of the nickel through multiple stages of flotation. Conditions in the flotation process are optimised to recover Ni minerals and reject MgO minerals. This technology has been applied at Mt Keith and the concentrate Fe:MgO has averaged around 2.9 that corresponds to a MgO content of about 10%. Although not

- 2 -

meeting the acceptable Fe:MgO specification, it has been possible for the smelter to accept this concentrate through blending the Mt Keith concentrate with concentrates from other locations. This blending has
5 provided the smelter with the required 5.5 Fe:MgO ratio. However, the smelting requirement of the Fe:MgO of 5.5 limits the future amount of Mt Keith concentrate that can be smelted without blending with other concentrates.

10 **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of separating a solid contaminant from a valuable mineral flotation concentrate, the method involving gravity separation and thus rejection of a
15 majority of the contaminant from said flotation concentrate.

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided an apparatus for separating a solid
20 contaminant from a valuable mineral flotation concentrate, the apparatus including a gravity separator which is effective in separating and thus rejecting a majority of the contaminant from said flotation concentrate.

25 Preferably the gravity separator is a centrifugal separator designed so that centrifugal forces are imparted on the solid contaminant to effect its separation from the mineral flotation concentrate. More preferably the centrifugal separator is of a construction at least
30 similar to a Kelsey jig.

According to a further aspect of the invention there is provided a method of processing a mineral stream including a solid contaminant, the method involving the steps of:
35 effecting a size separation of the mineral stream to produce a valuable mineral concentrate of a predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant; and

- 3 -

effecting gravity separation of the mineral concentrate to separate and thus reject a majority of the contaminant from the mineral concentrate.

5 According to yet another aspect of the invention there is provided an apparatus for processing a mineral stream including a solid contaminant, said apparatus comprising:
means for effecting a size separation of the mineral stream to produce a valuable mineral concentrate of a
10 predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant; and
means for effecting gravity separation of the mineral concentrate to separate and thus reject a majority of the contaminant from the mineral concentrate.

15 Generally the valuable mineral concentrate is a valuable mineral flotation concentrate.

Preferably said size separation means includes a screen
20 and the undersize material or fines from the screen at least in part provides the mineral concentrate being predominant in the solid contaminant. More preferably said separation means also includes a cyclone to which the screen undersize or fines is fed and an underflow of the
25 cyclone or middlings at least in part provides the mineral concentrate being predominant in the solid contaminant.

Preferably the apparatus also includes flotation means being disposed between and arranged to operatively
30 cooperate with the size separation means and the gravity separation means, said flotation means being designed to subject the valuable mineral concentrate or middlings to flotation to recover the valuable mineral and reject the solid contaminant which is fed to the gravity separation
35 means. More preferably the apparatus further includes means for treating the valuable mineral concentrate or middlings with alkali and/or depressant to enhance

- 4 -

flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to the gravity separation means.

5 Preferably the apparatus further includes another flotation means being designed to effect flotation of an overflow of the cyclone or ultrafines/slimes to recover the valuable mineral concentrate and reject the solid
10 contaminant. More preferably the apparatus additionally includes means for treating the overflow or ultrafines/slimes with acid and/or activator to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to a concentrated
15 contaminant or tails stream.

15 Preferably said gravity separation means includes a centrifugal separator. More preferably the centrifugal separator is of a construction at least similar to a Kelsey jig.

20 Preferably the size separation is performed to provide a middlings and an ultrafine/slimes stream, said separation being performed at a so called cut size in the range 20 to 50 micron with the range 25 to 45 micron being
25 particularly preferred. More preferably the predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant is the middlings stream being from between 30 to 110 microns.

30 Preferably the size separation is a multi-stage process. More preferably the multi-stage size separation includes a first stage to provide a coarse and a fines stream, and a second stage involving size separation of the fines stream to provide the ultrafine/slimes stream and the middlings
35 stream of the predetermined size range.

35 Preferably the method also comprises the step of flotation of the middlings stream to recover the valuable mineral

- 5 -

and reject the solid contaminant which undergoes the gravity separation to concentrate the solid contaminant. More preferably the middlings stream is treated with an alkali and/or depressant to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to thereafter undergo the gravity separation.

Preferably the method further comprises the step of flotation of the ultrafine/slimes stream to recover the valuable mineral and reject the solid contaminant. More preferably the ultrafine/slimes stream is treated with an acid and/or activator to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to a concentrated contaminant or tails stream.

Preferably the solid contaminant in the gravity separation means is rejected to a concentrated contaminant or tails stream which is subjected to flotation to recover residual valuable minerals from the mineral concentrate. More preferably the concentrated contaminant or tails stream is ground to liberate at least some of the residual valuable minerals prior to said flotation.

Preferably the gravity separation is conducted in at least two stages such as a rougher and a scavenger stage arranged in series. More preferably the gravity separation is performed on the middlings stream of the predetermined size range.

Preferably the mineral stream or mineral concentrate includes a valuable metal sulphide. More preferably the valuable metal sulphide is nickel sulphide hosted in a serpentinitic ore including magnesia minerals as the solid contaminants.

- 6 -

Typically the size separation for the nickel sulphide mineral is effected wherein particle sizes encompassed by the predetermined size range are less than about 120 microns. More typically the predetermined size range is
5 from between about 30 to 110 microns.

It is understood that a large proportion of the magnesia minerals are contained in the size fraction 30 to 100 microns and are well liberated making physical separation
10 possible. The nickel sulphide and the magnesia minerals have a significantly different specific gravity which lends the minerals to gravity separation to achieve magnesia rejection.

15

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In order to achieve a better understanding of the nature of the present invention a preferred embodiment of a method and an apparatus for separating a solid contaminant
20 from a valuable mineral concentrate will now be explained in some detail, by way of example only, with reference to the attached flowsheets in which:

Figure 1 shows one embodiment of a gravity/flotation circuit; and

25 Figure 2 illustrates another embodiment of a gravity/flotation circuit.

The flowsheets of these embodiments of the invention are based on pilot plant testing at Mt Keith, Western
30 Australia, over a limited range of low grade nickel sulphide serpentinitic ore. The minerals stream introduced to the gravity circuit of these examples are a flotation concentrate having a high concentration of nickel with the resultant higher than allowable MgO content. The flotation
35 concentrate is in this example either a rougher concentrate or a cleaner concentrate. It is to be understood for the purposes of this example that nickel is

- 7 -

the valuable mineral and MgO or magnesia minerals are the solid contaminants.

5 The mineral stream of Figure 1 undergoes a two stage size separation wherein:

i) the screen of the first stage provides a coarse stream of particles greater than 110 microns and a fines stream of particles less than 110 microns; and

10 ii) the fines stream of less than 110 microns is subjected to the second stage separation whereupon a cyclone provides an ultrafine/slimes stream of p80 less than about 25 microns and a middlings stream of p80 greater than around 25 microns.

15 The ultrafine/slimes stream is subjected to flotation at a low pH which selectively rejects MgO. During flotation of the ultrafine/slimes stream, acid and/or activator are added to enhance the flotation of nickel whilst depressing magnesia. The flotation concentrate is sent to final
20 concentrate without further upgrading and the flotation tails is sent to a concentrated contaminant or tails stream. This flotation and upgrading of the ultrafine/slimes stream is typically performed at a pH of about 2 to 5.

25

The coarse stream of greater than 110 microns is reground in a tower mill in order to liberate the nickel sulphide from the MgO. The liberated coarse stream is then floated in order to recover residual nickel sulphide and reject
30 MgO minerals to enhance the grade of the final concentrate. This also reduces the all important MgO concentration in the final concentrate.

The middlings stream of a p80 of less than about 25
35 microns is subjected to flotation to recover nickel sulphide which is sent to final concentrate, and reject or depress magnesia which undergoes gravity separation to

- 8 -

concentrate the MgO. During flotation of the middlings stream, alkali and/or depressant are added to enhance the flotation of nickel sulphide whilst depressing MgO. In one example the pH of the middling stream is adjusted to a pH
5 of between 9 to 11 using soda ash and the depressant guar gum is added at rates of from 0 to 5000g/tonne flotation feed.

It was discovered that a large proportion of the MgO
10 minerals in the Mt Keith concentrate are contained in the 30 to 100 micron size fraction and that they are well liberated making physical separation possible. Furthermore, the nickel sulphide minerals and magnesium minerals have a significantly different specific gravity
15 which can be exploited using gravity separation equipment to achieve magnesia rejection.

In this embodiment the concentrated MgO tails of the middlings flotation circuit is fed to a single or multiple
20 stage gravity separation. The concentrate from the gravity separation device has relatively low concentrations of MgO and thus a very high Fe:MgO ratio. The tails from the gravity separation device reports together with the coarse stream to the regrind tower mill.

25

The preferred gravity separation device is a centrifugal separator designed so that both gravity and centrifugal forces are used to effect the required separation. The Kelsey jig is such an example of the centrifugal separator
30 and is particularly effective in separating the MgO minerals from the nickel sulphide minerals of the attached flowsheets.

The jig tails of both embodiments are sent to the tower
35 mill together with the coarse material from the screen. The tails are thus ground and floated together with the coarse material greater than 110 micron material with a

- 9 -

view to rejecting more MgO in the flotation circuit to improve the grade of the final concentrate. The grind and float of the jig tails recovers additional nickel which may be otherwise lost and thus increases the recovery of the gravity circuit. That is, the combined gravity circuit flowsheet achieves high MgO rejection with minimal Nickel losses. In this embodiment this is effected by the combination of flotation, size separation, gravity separation and grinding to selectively reject MgO minerals while maintaining a high nickel recovery.

The application of a gravity separation device in this example poses a challenging task for traditional equipment due to the relatively fine particle size being treated. Traditional gravity separation devices generally have a lower limit of around 100 microns and thus are not particularly well suited to nickel sulphide serpentinitic ores such as that which exists at Mt Keith, Western Australia. In the gravity circuit described, the Kelsey jig which uses centrifugal forces rather than gravity alone is effective in separating particles of a finer particle size range. It is understood that the conventional use of gravity devices is to concentrate a valuable mineral, for example in the mineral sands and tin industry, as opposed to rejecting a contaminant such as its preferred application in the present invention. It is also understood that centrifugal separators, such as the Kelsey jig, have not been used in the sulphide metal industry.

30

The following table includes typical results from the pilot gravity/flotation circuit plant of Figure 1.

- 10 -

Stream	Ni Grade %	MgO Grade %	Fe Grade %
Feed	20	8.6	26.1
Screen O/S	16.1	10.3	26.1
Cyclone O/F	18.1	9.5	23.5
Cyclone U/F	21	8.3	26.8
Float + Jig Con	25.1	3.0	29.8
Jig Tail	8.6	24.2	17.7
Refloat Tail	4.1	29.7	19.4
Combined Con	22.9	5	27.2

This process increases the concentrate Fe:MgO ratio from about 3 to about 5.5. Thus, the process of this example
 5 has the potential to reject MgO from the Mt Keith concentrate achieving an Fe:MgO of 5.5 thereby making the final concentrate smelttable in its own right.

The mineral stream of the alternative embodiment of Figure
 10 2 undergoes a two stage size separation wherein:

- i) the de-slime cyclone of the first stage provides an ultra-fine or slimes stream of p80 less than about 25 microns and coarse stream of p80 greater than around 25 microns
- 15 ii) the coarse stream is subjected to the second stage separation whereupon a screen provides an ultra-coarse stream of particles greater than 110 microns and a fines/middlings or valuable mineral concentrate of between 30 to 110 microns.

20

The ultra-fine or slimes stream of the pilot plant is sent directly to final concentrate without upgrading. However, it is the applicant's intention to subject this stream to flotation at low pH which selectively rejects MgO. The
 25 coarse stream is reground in a tower mill in order to liberate the nickel sulphide from the MgO. The liberated coarse stream is then floated in order to recover residual

- 11 -

nickel sulphide and reject MgO minerals to enhance the grade of the final concentrate. This also reduces the all important MgO concentration in the final concentrate.

5 In this embodiment, the nickel sulphide concentrate stream of the 30 to 110 microns size fraction is fed to a two stage rougher and scavenger gravity separation. The rougher concentrate reports to the final concentrate and the rougher tails reports to the scavenger separation
10 stage to recover additional nickel minerals. The rougher concentrate has relatively low concentrations of MgO and thus a very high Fe:MgO ratio. The scavenger concentrate similarly reports to the final concentrate with particularly high Fe:MgO ratios.

15

As shown in the flowsheet of Figure 2, the process in pilot plant scale testing increases the concentrate Fe:MgO from 2.9 to 5.5 with a loss of less than 3% nickel. More recent results have indicated a loss as low as 1.5%
20 nickel. This compares with a greater than 10% recovery loss when using conventional flotation alone to increase the Fe:MgO from 2.9 to 3.8. The target of 5.5 has not previously been achievable using conventional flotation. Thus, the process of this embodiment has the potential to
25 reject MgO from the Mt Keith concentrate achieving an Fe:MgO of 5.5 thereby making the final concentrate smeltable in its own right. It is expected that the process has potential to be applied to other ore bodies removing what otherwise has been a significant impediment
30 to their development.

The following table includes typical results from the pilot gravity circuit plant of Figure 2 at Mt Keith.

	Circuit Feed	Slimes Stream	Gravity Con	Gravity Tail	Cal' Final Con
Mass	100	8	69	22	87.1
Ni Rec	100	8	85	7	97.2
MgO Rec	100	9	29	62	50.4
Ni Grade	22	22	25	6	24.6
Fe Grade	28	24	31	12	30.2
MgO Grade	9	10	4	30	5.4
Fe:MgO	2.9	2.4	7.1	0.4	5.5

Now that several preferred embodiments of the invention have been described in some detail it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that the method and apparatus for separating a solid contaminant from a valuable mineral concentrate have at least the following advantages:

- i) the ability to treat a particular mineral concentrate without the need to blend to increase the Fe:MgO for smelting;
- ii) the potential to expand the process to other ore bodies with relatively high levels of contaminant, such as MgO minerals; and
- iii) the ability to reject relatively high levels of the solid contaminant whilst minimising loss of the valuable mineral.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention described herein is susceptible to variations and modifications other than those specifically described. For example, the gravity separator is not limited to a centrifugal separator but rather will be dictated by the particular mineral size and specific gravity of the solid contaminant to be rejected. The process need not be limited to a size separation but rather may involve gravity separation alone of an already liberated mineral concentrate/solid contaminant.

All such variations and modifications are to be considered within the scope of the present invention the nature of which is to be determined from the foregoing description.

5

It is to be understood that, if any reference to prior art is made herein, such reference does not constitute an admission that the prior art forms a part of the common general knowledge in the art, in Australia or any other
10 country.

- 14 -

CLAIMS:

1. A method of separating a solid contaminant from a valuable mineral flotation concentrate, the method involving gravity separation and thus rejection of a majority of the contaminant from said flotation concentrate.
2. A method of processing a mineral stream including a solid contaminant, the method involving the steps of:
effecting a size separation of the mineral stream to produce a valuable mineral concentrate of a predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant; and effecting gravity separation of the mineral concentrate to separate and thus reject a majority of the contaminant from said flotation concentrate.
3. A method as defined in claim 2 wherein the size separation is performed to provide a middlings and an ultrafine/slimes stream, said separation being performed at a so called cut size in the range 20 to 50 micron.
4. A method as defined in claim 3 wherein the predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant is the middlings stream being from between to 110 microns.
5. A method as defined in any one of claims 2 to 4 wherein the size separation is a multi-stage process.
6. A method as defined in either of claims 3 or 4 wherein the multi-stage size separation includes a first stage to provide a coarse and a fines stream, and a second stage involving size separation of the fines stream to provide the ultrafine/slimes stream and the middlings stream of the predetermined size range.

- 15 -

7. A method as defined in any one of the preceding claims wherein the gravity separation is conducted in at least two stages such as a rougher and a scavenger stage arranged in series.

5

8. A method as defined in claim 7 when it depends on claim 6 only wherein the gravity separation is performed on the middlings stream of the predetermined size range.

10 9. A method as defined in claim 6 wherein the coarse stream is ground and then floated to reject more of the contaminant.

10. A method as defined in claim 6 also involving
15 flotation of the middlings stream to recover the valuable mineral and reject the solid contaminant which undergoes the gravity separation to concentrate the solid contaminant.

20 11. A method as defined in claim 10 wherein the middlings stream is treated with an alkali and/or depressant to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to thereafter undergo the gravity separation.

25

12. A method as defined in claim 6 wherein the ultrafine/slimes stream is subjected to flotation to reject any of the solid contaminant to upgrade the valuable mineral concentration.

30

13. A method as defined in claim 12 wherein said ultrafine/slimes stream is treated with an acid and/or activator to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to a
35 concentrated contaminant or tails stream.

- 16 -

14. A method as defined in claim 1 or 2 wherein the solid contaminant is rejected to a concentrated contaminant or tails stream which is subjected to flotation to recover residual valuable minerals from the mineral concentrate.

5

15. A method as defined in claim 14 wherein the concentrated contaminant or tails stream is ground to liberate at least some of the residual valuable minerals prior to said flotation.

10

16. A method as defined in claim 2 wherein the mineral stream includes a valuable metal sulphide.

17. A method as defined in claim 16 wherein the predetermined size range is from between about 30 to 110 microns.

18. An apparatus for separating a solid contaminant from a valuable mineral flotation concentrate, the apparatus including a gravity separator which is effective in separating and thus rejecting a majority of the contaminant from said flotation concentrate.

19. An apparatus as defined in claim 18 wherein the gravity separator is a centrifugal separator designed so that centrifugal forces are imparted on the solid contaminant to effect its separation from the flotation concentrate.

20. An apparatus as defined in claim 19 wherein the centrifugal separator is of a construction at least similar to a Kelsey jig.

21. An apparatus for processing a mineral stream including a solid contaminant, said apparatus comprising:
means for effecting a size separation of the mineral stream to produce a valuable mineral concentrate of a

35

- 17 -

predetermined size range being predominant in the solid contaminant; and

means for effecting gravity separation of the mineral concentrate to separate and thus reject a majority of the
5 contaminant from the mineral concentrate.

22. An apparatus as defined in claim 21 wherein said size separation means includes a screen and the undersize material or fines from the screen at least in part
10 provides the mineral concentrate being predominant in the solid contaminant.

23. An apparatus as defined in claim 22 also including a cyclone to which the screen undersize or fines is fed and
15 an underflow of the cyclone or middlings at least in part provides the mineral concentrate being predominant in the solid contaminant.

24. An apparatus as defined in any one of claims 21 to 23
20 wherein said gravity separation means includes a centrifugal separator.

25. An apparatus as defined in claim 24 wherein the centrifugal separator is of a construction at least
25 similar to a Kelsey jig.

26. An apparatus as defined in any one of claims 21 to 25 also comprising flotation means being disposed between and arranged to operatively cooperate with the size separation
30 means and the gravity separation means, said flotation means beings designed to subject the valuable mineral concentrate or middlings to flotation to recover the valuable mineral and reject the solid contaminant which is fed to the gravity separation means.

35

27. An apparatus as defined in claim 26 further comprising means for treating the valuable mineral

- 18 -

concentrate or middlings with alkali and/or depressant to enhance flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to the gravity separation means.

5

28. An apparatus as defined in claim 23 also comprising another flotation means being designed to effect flotation of an overflow of the cyclone or ultrafines/slimes to recover the valuable mineral concentrate and reject the
10 solid contaminant.

29. An apparatus as defined in claim 28 further comprising means for treating the overflow or ultrafines/slimes with acid and/or activator to enhance
15 flotation of the valuable mineral to a final concentrate and depress the solid contaminant to a concentrated contaminant or tails stream.




FIG. 1

Concentrate
Fe : MgO 5.5

Gravity Circuit




FIG. 2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU02/00471

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTERInt. Cl. ⁷: B03D 1/08, B03B 4/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

B03D 1/00, 1/02, 1/04, 1/06, 1/08, B03B 4/00, 4/02, 4/04, 4/06, 5/00/IC, 7/00, 9/00/IC

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

AU:IPC as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPAT: (FLOAT+ OR FLOT+) AND GRAVITY AND FINE+ AND COARSE+;

USPTO

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6146444 A (Kohr) 14 November 2000 See whole document	1-2, 5, 7, 16, 18-25, 28
X	US 5232490 A (Bender et al.) 3 August 1993 See whole document	1-2, 16, 18- 21, 24-25
X	US 5522510 A (Luttrell et al.) 4 June 1996 See figures 3 and 9, column 3 lines 21-66	1-2, 16, 18- 21, 24-25

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search
17 May 2002Date of mailing of the international search report
27 MAY 2002Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaustrialia.gov.au
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929Authorized officer
JOHN DEUIS
Telephone No : (02) 6283 2146

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU02/00471

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5968349 A (Duyvesteyn et al.) 19 October 1999 See figure 1	1-2, 18, 21
X	US 4946597 A (Sury) 7 August 1990 See figure 1	1-2, 18, 21
X	US 4425227 (Smith) 10 January 1984 See figure 1	1-2, 18, 21

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU02/00471

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member					
US	6146444	AU	12981/95	BR	9408248	CA	2176147
		FR	2713242	GB	2298643	NZ	277674
		US	5431717	WO	9515403	ZA	9409538
		US	5611839	US	5800593	US	5573575
		US	5676733	US	6083730	US	6107065
		AP	613	AU	61450/96	BG	102099
		BR	9608992	CA	2222900	CN	1191521
		EP	885170	HU	9900904	NZ	310627
		PL	323668	WO	9638381	US	5766930
		US	6159726	US	2001001065		
US	5968349	WO	200029507				
US	5522510	NONE					
US	5232490	AU	65696/86				
US	4946597	NONE					
US	4425227	BR	8205713				
END OF ANNEX							